

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/502,210 | 07/21/2004 | Christopher K. Haas | 57787US007 | 1825 |
| * | 7590 12/18/2006 TVE PROPERTIES COM | EXAMINER | | |
| PO BOX 3342 | | DESAI, ANISH P | | |
| ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| · | | | 1771 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 12/18/2006 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary * | 10/502,210 | HAAS ET AL. |
| Examiner-initiated interview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Anish Desai | 1771 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: NO | N-FINAL |
| (1) <u>Anish Desai</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Kent Koko</u> . | (4) | ÷ |
| Date of Interview: 12 December 2006 | Time: 10:15 AM | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | nt's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: 102 or 103 | | |
| Claims discussed: 1 and 13-15 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: Perez (US 6,468, 451B1) | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet | RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS | S DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary | examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | , | |
| • | • | · |
| | | |
| Chin P. Dogai | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant) | Applicant's Representative Si | gnature – if appropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Mr. Koko that the currently amended claim 1 as submitted in the applicant's amendment dated 10/10/06 does not appear to overcome the prior art of Perez (US 6, 468, 451B1). Additionally, the examiner suggested to the applicant to further define the core in claim 13.